

These minutes are a compilation of notes taken by several members of the audience and are NOT to be construed as the official minutes of the MAC meeting, which are produced by the County.

MAC Meeting – 5 September 2013

Summary of comments on SVSH Squaw Valley Village Proposal

Alex Fisch stated that for now, the SVSH proposal (at the County level) is 'on-hold' and County is doing no work on it until KSL submits the revised Specific Plan or at least sufficient technical data to enable the County to prepare a revised Initial Study (IS) and Notice of Preparation (NOP). Alex said that this delay could be at least 60 days. He also said (with Chevis' permission) that the SVSH was consulting with their investors, planners, and marketing folks about the changes.

Preparing a revised IS and NOP is different than indicated last month, as the County has decided that there will be too many changes to Specific Plan for just an addendum NOP. They plan on going through the entire IS and NOP and indicated all areas where there are changes, and, it sounded like, there will be comments allowed on anything that has changed. This revised IS and NOP will take at least 30 days to prepare beyond when the Specific Plan (or data from it) is provided to the County.

The PSD has provided SVSH with the technical water models that have been prepared as part of the Water Assessment, and they are using it to go through scenarios of their own. Alex reported that the actual Water Assessment document will be delayed until at least November (formerly was October).

Alex reported that he is preparing the monthly status updates, which are available on the County website.

With regard to the Design Review Committee, Supervisor Montgomery commented that there will be three new members, and that applications will be reviewed for these openings, interviews held, and BoS approval sought for her choices. Alex said that the first meeting will not be until November (before the MAC meeting) and that meeting will not be a business meeting, but rather an introduction for the

MAC Meeting – 5 September 2013

Committee on their roles, responsibilities, and the Brown Act under which they operate. They will most likely then be given a bunch of material to read to prepare for the first real review meeting. Alex believed that a project of this scope will need at least 6 meetings, if not more.